

## Minutes of the May 26, 2011 EDTF – CIE Subgroup meeting

Room 210, 40 Sheppard Ave. West, Toronto

**Participating:** George Comrie, Jim Finch, Colin Cantlie, Tyson Macaulay, Jordan Max (staff advisor)

**Regrets:** Roger Jones, Alana Lavoie, Corneliu Chisu, Dave Adams, John Clark, Peter DeVita

Prior to the official start of the meeting, a joint dinner session was held with the NME subgroup.

### 1. **Appointment of Council Liaison**

Since Corneliu is no longer on PEO Council, we need to appoint a new Council Liaison. It was recommended that Roger Jones be asked if he wanted to take on this role, as he is both a member of the task force and Council. **Action: Peter** to ask **Roger** if he wants to be the new Council Liaison for EDTF.

### 2. **Informal discussion (with CIE subgroup)**

George Comrie gave a brief update on the CIE's progress on stakeholder consultation. A suggestion was made that NME be renamed as "Synthetic Biology". It was also suggested that the CIE and NME processes be used as a template for future Emerging Disciplines work.

The business portion of the meeting started at 7:00 pm

### 3. **Approval of Agenda**

As there was not quorum, the agenda was accepted as presented.

### 4. **Approval of April 27<sup>th</sup> meeting minutes and follow up on action items**

The minutes were accepted without amendment.

### 5. **Stakeholder Consultations report**

George presented a summary of the meetings he and Tyson held in the last month (he had posted a summary of the CRTC and Industry Canada meetings on Central Desktop). The CRTC (Jonathan Curtis) was quite interested in the report, and promised they would be sending a more formal response soon. It was noted that the CRTC would be implementing the new Anti-spam legislation (C-28). It was suggested that we offer Jonathan to join the CIE subgroup.

Industry Canada (Peter Chau, David Gibson and Maggie Smith) were interested in the report, but is taking a less regulatory position relative to the telecom providers, and expects them to self-regulate in their own interest. IC is circulating the report to Public Safety, CESC, the Communications Research Centre and DND for comments as well.

George also reported that Public Safety declined to comment on the report, noting that Industry Canada had the policy lead in this area. Similarly, the Ontario Chief Information Office's Corporate Security Branch saw the issue as exclusively federal jurisdiction, although they did suggest we talk to Mike Hipwell in their Communications Services Group. In light of this, it was

recommended to hold off following up with other provincial ministries, although George would follow up with Paul Murphy of IESO, and the Ontario Energy Board.

CIRA has responded that they would like a presentation, and Jordan is trying to set up a common time. ISSS (Guy Boone) has responded with interest, and has posted the report for comments and discussion at their September meeting.

The possibility of holding an Ottawa workshop at the Engineers Canada headquarters, for non-government organizations was discussed, and it was concluded that it was premature at this time, and that the ITAC meeting would be more beneficial.

George also noted that he is presenting to the Council of Ontario Deans of Engineering (CODE) on June 13, and he and Tyson are presenting at ITAC on June 14. He is also to seek a response from the ICTC. **Action: Jordan** to follow up on invitations and update the Stakeholder Feedback form posted on Central Desktop. **Jordan** to forward the report to Mike Hipwell at the Ontario Ministry of Government Services and followup. **George** to follow up with IESO and Ontario Energy Board, ICTC and David Ticoll at CCICT.

**6. Go-Forward Strategy**

George presented his draft of the Go-Forward Strategy. Comments were made that section 1.2 (Stakeholder Consultation) was not really an objective in itself, but rather a tactic to achieve the objectives. It was also suggested that the desired outcome that PEO can control is that PEO is “ready to regulate” at the end of Phase 2. **Action: George** to revise the Strategy document for the next meeting.

**7. CIE Scopes of Practice**

This item was tabled for the next meeting, as there was not sufficient time to discuss

**8. Other Business**

Jordan noted that the Border Action Plan was requesting submissions by June 3<sup>rd</sup>, on matters related to Critical Infrastructure and Cyber Security. George offered to draft the submission, based on the Digital Economy submission last fall, and Colin offered to provide comments.

**Action: George** to draft the submission and send to **Colin** for comments.

**9. Next meeting(s)**

June 23<sup>rd</sup> was tentatively selected for the next meeting, between 6 and 8:30pm.

**10. Phase 2 Work Plan and Staffing Plan**

Since the 2011 EDTF Human Resources Plan indicated a need for academic representation, there was some discussion about possible. Jordan referred to professors at Carleton University’s Communications Engineering program.

**Action: Jordan** to contact Carleton staff about their participation in the CIE subgroup and send information back to the group.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:40pm.