Appendix A

WHERE AS PEO REPRESENTATVES TO FEDERAL ENGINEERS CANADA (FEC), BOARD

WHERE AS THE BOARD MEMBEBR FOR FEC TO BE ELECTED WITH OTHER CANDIDATES DURING PEO COUNCIL. The election to be held in conjunction with the general elections of other candidates to PEO Council.

WHERE AS

TO ENSURE BETTER TRANSPARENCY AMONGST THE CANDIDATES AND THE SELECTION PROCESS.

The process of electing Fellow Engineers of Canada should be changed to allow all PEO members to become candidates for the positions of board member. Any member may be nominated for election to the board and include member residents from each region. [Regulation 941/90, s. 14.(1)]

WHERE AS THE GOAL
Should be to demonstrate transparency amongst the candidates and the selection process.. The goal should be to promote the best candidates who are well rounded and experienced engineers

WHEREAS CURRENT STATUS:

Council I selecting and choosing council members and there seems to be no way for other engineers to get elected. .

THERFORE BE IT SUBMITTED THAT

PEO REPRESENTATIVE TO ENGINEERS CANADA BOARD BE ELECTED AS PART OF THE PEO COUNCIL ELECTION.

Moved by; Pappur Shankar FEC

P.N. Shankar

Seconded by; Brett Chmiel P.Eng

Brett Chmiel P.Eng.

Date: April 15, 2016

Background Information:

Candidates are selected by PEO council for FEC as a board member. As currently PEO council members are seeking board membership . We do not feel comfortable as naturally PEO council member will get selected by the PEO council.

Proposal to PEO-AGM 2016 #

Whereas: The Government of Ontario created APEO to be its Instrument in the regulation of Professional Engineering in Ontario

And whereas: An exception, PEA subsection 12 (3) (a), has allowed unlicensed persons to perform acts within the practice of professional engineering in relation to machinery or equipment, other than equipment of a structural nature, for use in the facilities of the person's employer in the production of products by the person's employer:

Therefore be it submitted that PEO should continue discussions with the Government and others to ultimately eliminate the Ontario Industrial Exception and align PEO with other Engineering Regulators.

Moved by Peter Broad P.Eng P. J Broad

Seconded by Roger Barker P.Eng R A Barker

Date April 15th 2016

Background Information

From data collected it appears Ontario has a higher accident rate than provinces with no industrial exception

Ontario Government has delegated PEO to regulate professional engineering in Ontario and should take a hands-off role

PEO mandate is to ensure public safety in professional engineering matters

Of all Provinces and Territories Ontario is unique in this exception and numbers suggest Ontario is the least safe regarding accidents in manufacturing settings

The Government has seen the need for Engineers in Industry in the Pre-start Health & Safety mandatory inspections, though compliance may be less than the law requires as there is little or no monitoring of compliance prior to an accident investigation by MOL after an injury occurs.

2016 PEO AGM Submission

AGM assigned Submission # _____

Submitter's reference # REL # 1

Motion Nickname: **Satisfaction Survey Results**

Whereas: PEO sent a 2015 PEO Member Satisfaction Survey by email to the membership on Jan 5, 2016.

Whereas: it would appear the satisfaction survey was **reviewed in camera** as item 4.8 COUNCIL EVALUATION SURVEY RESULTS at Council meeting 504 held February 4/5, 2016 and was therefore not published in the agenda .

THEREFORE BE IT SUBMITTED THAT,

PEO Council make available to the membership the results of the satisfaction survey.

Moved By: R E Linseman Ray Linseman, P.Eng.

Seconded By: S Wall Stephen P. Wall, P. Eng

Chair Signature: _____ (applicable only if brought forth by a chapter)

Date: April 15, 2016

2016 PEO AGM Submission

AGM assigned Submission # _____

Submitter's reference # REL # 2

Motion Nickname: **Continuing Professional Education Referendum**

Whereas: In the Report of the Elliot Lake Commission of Inquiry Executive Summary recommendation 1.24 states

Recommendation 1.24

The Professional Engineers of Ontario should establish a system of mandatory continuing professional education for its members as soon as possible, and in any event no later than 18 months from the release of this report.

Whereas: the recommendation uses the term "**continuing professional education**"

Whereas: the Professional Engineers Act, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter P.28 under section 7 subsection (1) with the preamble "Regulations states " **7(1) Subject to the approval of the Lieutenant Governor in Council and with prior review by the Minister, the Council may make regulations**,"

and whereas under paragraph 27 of subsection 7(1) the regulations include " **27 providing for continuing education of members;"** [Underline emphasis added]

Whereas:according to the March April 2016 issue of engineering dimensions on page 8 it states the program is being called currently called continuing professional competence program (CP) squared.

:

THEREFORE BE IT SUBMITTED THAT,

A) the program be named Continuing Professional Education (CPE) to reflect the wording of Justice Belanger and the authority given under the Professional Engineers Act

B) that Council rescind its motion to conduct a referendum of the members and continue with its implementation of the program, failing that

C) that Council conduct a referendum of the 36 PEO Chapter Boards rather than the membership at large.

Moved By: R C Linseman Ray Linseman, P.Eng.

Seconded By: S Wall Stephen P. Wall, P. Eng.

Chair Signature: _____ (applicable only if brought forth by a chapter)

Date: April 15, 2016

Background information
Please provide background information on the submission.